Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/751,541	LEMON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ehud Gartenberg	3746
Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) Ehud Gartenberg.	(3)	
(2) Richard Besha.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 April 2005	Time: <u>AM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 102(b) of claim 1		
Claims discussed: 1-13		
Prior art documents discussed:  NA		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Agreement was reached on the Examiner's Amendment that defined the claimed invention over the admitted prior art and fixed minor deficiencies in claim 10 language		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	'Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)